

Stantec Consulting Services Inc. 11687 Lebanon Road, Cincinnati, OH 45241

October 13, 2021 File: 175531033 Revision 0

Indiana-Kentucky Electric Corporation 3932 U.S. Route 23 P.O. Box 468 Piketon, Ohio 45661

### RE: Periodic Inflow Design Flood Control System Plan Landfill Runoff Collection Pond EPA Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) Rule Clifty Creek Station Madison, Jefferson County, Indiana

## 1.0 PURPOSE

This letter documents Stantec's certification of the inflow design flood control system plan for the Indiana-Kentucky Electric Corporation (IKEC) Clifty Creek Station's Landfill Runoff Collection Pond. The EPA CCR Rule requires a new certification to be performed on a five-year periodic interval under 40 CFR 257.82(c)(4). The initial certification of the inflow design flood control system plan was placed in the operating record in October 2016.

#### 2.0 INITIAL INFLOW DESIGN FLOOD CONTROL SYSTEM PLAN

The initial inflow design flood control plan is attached. The 1000-year flood event was selected for the design storm based upon a hazard potential classification of "significant." The initial assessment found that Landfill Runoff Collection Pond met the requirements of 40 CFR 257.82(a) and (b).

## 3.0 CURRENT INFLOW DESIGN FLOOD CONTROL SYSTEM PLAN

Stantec reviewed the result of the initial inflow design plan and changes in site conditions that have occurred in the past five years. The following operational changes and other factors were considered in this periodic assessment:

- 1. Cross-sectional geometry of the dam embankment has not changed.
- 2. Annual and weekly inspections conducted since 2015 were reviewed as part of this assessment. There were no observations of deficiencies that would negatively affect the result of the inflow design assessment.
- 3. The Landfill Runoff Collection Pond spillway facility is unchanged.
- 4. Typical operating pool and Ohio River water levels have remained unchanged.



October 13, 2021 Page 2 of 2

Re: Periodic Inflow Design Flood Control System Plan Landfill Runoff Collection Pond EPA Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) Rule Clifty Creek Station Madison, Jefferson County, Indiana

Based on our review, there are no conditions that have changed in the past five years that would have a negative effect on the inflow design assessment.

### 4.0 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Based on a review of the initial inflow design plan and the items listed in Section 3.0, the result of this periodic inflow design plan assessment is that the Landfill Runoff Collection Pond at Clifty Creek Station meets the requirements of §257.82(a) and (b) of the EPA CCR Rule.

### 5.0 QUALIFIED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER CERTIFICATION

I, Jacqueline S. Harmon, being a Professional Engineer in good standing in the State of Indiana, do hereby certify, to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief:

- 1. that the information contained in this certification is prepared in accordance with the accepted practice of engineering,
- 2. that the information contained herein is accurate as of the date of my signature below, and
- 3. that the inflow design flood control system plan for the IKEC Clifty Creek Station's Landfill Runoff Collection Pond meets the requirements specified in 40 CFR 257.82(a), (b), and (c)(1).

SIGNATURE

ADDRESS:

Stantec Consulting Services Inc. 11687 Lebanon Road Cincinnati, Ohio 45241 DATE 10/13/2021

TELEPHONE: (513) 842-8200

ATTACHMENT: Clifty Creek Station Landfill Runoff Collection Pond Initial Inflow Design Flood Control System Plan



Stantec Consulting Services Inc. 11687 Lebanon Road, Cincinnati, OH 45241

October 11, 2016 File: 175534018 Revision 0

Indiana-Kentucky Electric Corporation 3932 U.S. Route 23 P.O. Box 468 Piketon, Ohio 45661

### RE: Initial Inflow Design Flood Control System Plan Landfill Runoff Collection Pond EPA Final CCR Rule Clifty Creek Station Madison, Jefferson County, Indiana

## 1.0 PURPOSE

This letter documents Stantec's certification of the initial inflow design flood control system plan for the Clifty Creek Station's Landfill Runoff Collection Pond. Based on this assessment, the Landfill Runoff Collection Pond is in compliance with the initial inflow design flood control requirements in the EPA Final CCR Rule at 40 CFR 257.82(a) (3) (ii).

## 2.0 INITIAL INFLOW DESIGN FLOOD CONTROL SYSTEM PLAN

As described in 40 CFR 257.82(c), documentation is required on how the inflow design flood control system has been designed and constructed to manage the design storm required by the hazard classification. The inflow design storm event was selected from §257.82(a)(3)(ii) as the 1000-year event based upon a hazard potential classification of significant. A rainfall amount for the 1000-year storm event (7.19 inches) was obtained from the "Precipitation Frequency Atlas of the United States, NOAA Atlas 14" using a precipitation event duration of 6 hours.

## 3.0 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The attached report presents the reservoir routing analysis of the Landfill Runoff Collection Pond for the Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP) event (27.6 inches in 6 hours). The resulting water surface elevations are shown in the following table. The results show that the reservoir routing for the PMP event meets the criteria; therefore, the design is also acceptable for the 1000-year event and the requirements set forth in 40 CFR 257.82(a).

Station	Facility	Inflow Design Storm	Modeled Design Storm	Peak PMP Water Surface Elevation (feet)	Minimum Embankment Elevation (feet)
Clifty Creek	Landfill Runoff Collection Pond	1000-year storm	PMP	501.4	502.9

Design with community in mind



October 11, 2016 Page 2 of 2

Re: Initial Inflow Design Flood Control System Plan Landfill Runoff Collection Pond EPA Final CCR Rule Clifty Creek Station Madison, Jefferson County, Indiana

## 4.0 QUALIFIED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER CERTIFICATION

I, Stan A. Harris, being a Professional Engineer in good standing in the State of Indiana, do hereby certify, to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief:

- 1. that the information contained in this certification is prepared in accordance with the accepted practice of engineering;
- 2. that the information contained herein is accurate as of the date of my signature below; and
- 3. that, pursuant to 40 CRR 257.82(c)(5), the inflow design flood control system plan for the Clifty Creek Station's Landfill Runoff Collection Pond meets the requirements specified in 40 CFR 257.82(a) and (c)(1).

DATE 10/11/16

SIGNATURE

ADDRESS:

Stantec Consulting Services Inc. 11687 Lebanon Road Cincinnati, Ohio 45241

TELEPHONE: (513) 842-8200

ATTACHMENTS: Clifty Creek Station Landfill Runoff Collection Pond Inflow Design Flood Control System Plan



Design with community in mind

## Reservoir Routing Analysis Landfill Runoff Collection Pond

Clifty Creek Power Station City of Madison Jefferson County, Indiana



October 2016 Revision 1

# **Table of Contents**

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY	1
HYDROLOGY	2
RESERVOIR ROUTING	4
STAGE-STORAGE INFORMATION	4
DAM EMBANKMENT	5
PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY	5
RESULTS	7
BIBLIOGRAPHY AND REFERENCES	8
	INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

# Appendices

Appendix A	Watershed Layout

Appendix B Hydrographs

## **1.0** Introduction and Summary

The Clifty Creek Power Station Landfill Runoff Collection Pond (LRCP), owned and operated by Indiana-Kentucky Electric Corp. (IKEC), is located in the City of Madison, Indiana along the northern bank of the Ohio River. The LRCP is being converted into a runoff collection pond for the proposed Coal Combustion Byproducts (CCB) Landfill. An approximately 508-acre area currently drains to the LRCP. Upon the completion of the CCB Landfill, the area draining to the LRCP will be reduced to approximately 443 acres. The pond is formed by natural grade to the north, east and west and a dam that runs along the bank of the Ohio River.

The LRCP Dam is a Significant Hazard Structure. As part of an evaluation of the dam, Stantec Consulting Services Inc. (Stantec) has been contracted to perform a reservoir routing analysis to determine the freeboard above the design storm for both existing and post CCB Landfill construction conditions. Stantec developed a hydrologic model of the existing and post CCB Landfill construction drainage areas to determine the expected runoff and routed the flows through the pond.

The results of the reservoir routing analysis indicate that the LRCP is capable of passing flows generated from the Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP) event for both existing and final landfill conditions without overtopping.

## 2.0 Hydrology

SEDCAD 4 (Reference 1) models were developed to estimate the hydrologic response, or runoff from a rainfall event, of the LRCP for existing and final conditions watershed configurations. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) National Engineering Handbook (NEH) Part 630 – Hydrology methodology was selected to determine rainfall-runoff relationships in the model (Reference 2). For each hydrology model the watershed contributing to the LRCP was delineated and divided into sub-watersheds. The hydrologic properties of the modeled sub-watersheds, including curve numbers, times of concentration and lag times, were determined using the methodology outlined in TR-55 (Reference 3). Hydrologic properties of the watershed are listed in Table 1.

Sub-Watershed No.	Area (Acres)	Curve Number	Time of Concentration (minutes)	Lag Time (minutes)
SB6(E,F)	30.10	72	24.6	14.7
SB7(E,F)	95.00	75	101.7	61.0
SB8(E, F)	70.40	76	24.7	14.8
SB9(E,F)	20.60	72	23.0	13.8
SB10(E,F)	36.60	72	33.0	19.8
SB11(E,F)	41.30	71	58.4	35.0
SB16(F)	4.95	86	14.0	8.4
SB17(F)	3.77	86	8.0	4.8
SB18(F)	13.28	86	8.2	4.9
SB19(F)	16.19	86	8.0	4.8
SB20(F)	17.35	86	8.0	4.8
SB21(F)	11.83	86	8.3	5.0
SB22(F)	8.47	73	17.0	10.2
SB-A(F)	15.31	73	16.6	9.9
SB-B(F)	12.51	86	8.0	4.8
SB-C(E)	9.05	73	16.6	9.9
SB-D(E)	71.86	73	42.8	25.7
SB-E(E)	81.30	86	38.5	23.1
Ash Pond(E)	51.64	99	-	-
Ash Pond(F)	44.96	99	_	-

Table 1.	Hydrolog	ic Model	Parameters

Key: (E) = Existing Condition, (F) = Final Condition

A PMP event was used as the design storm for the hydrologic models in accordance with the IDNR *General Guidelines for New Dams and Improvements to Existing Dams in Indiana* (Reference 4). A 6-hour Soil Conservation Service (SCS) Type B distribution was used based on IDNR's recommendations (Reference 4). The 6-hour rainfall depth (27.6 inches) for the PMP

## Stantec

## RESERVOIR ROUTING ANALYSIS LANDFILL RUNOFF COLLECTION POND Hydrology

storm event was obtained from the National Weather Service (Reference 5). Drawings of the existing and final conditions watershed layout are provided in Appendix A. The resultant watershed runoff hydrographs are presented in Appendix B.

#### **Reservoir Routing** 3.0

Following the development of runoff parameters, the subsequent flows were routed through the reservoir. The LRCP storage, principal spillway and embankment characteristics were input into the SEDCAD 4 models to perform the reservoir routing analyses. The normal pool elevation of 485 was assumed to be the starting water surface elevation for the existing and proposed conditions reservoir routing models.

#### STAGE-STORAGE INFORMATION 3.1

The stage-storage information input into the model was used in the determination of the water level in the reservoir. The stage-storage data was calculated from 2005 topographic mapping, soil borings performed for the landfill design, and the proposed landfill permit drawings (References 6, 7 and 8). Tables 2 and 3 provide the cumulative storage volume for a given elevation.

Table 2. LRCP	Stage-Storage – Existing Conditions
Elevation (feet)	LRCP Storage (acre-feet)
440	0.0
445	14.7
450	62.7
455	170.5
460	353.0
465	590.2
470	871.9
475	1,198.0
480	1,491.9
485	1,549.2
490	1,737.5
495	1,965.0
500	2,248.9
504	2,560.8

T-1-1- 0 I PCP Stago-Storago - Existing Conditions

## Stantec **RESERVOIR ROUTING ANALYSIS** LANDFILL RUNOFF COLLECTION POND **Reservoir Routing**

Table 3. **Elevation (feet)** Pond Storage (acre-feet) 0.0 440 445 14.7 450 62.7 167.4 455 460 330.1 465 521.1 470 730.2 475 955.4 480 1,146.9 485 1,196.7 490 1,362.8 495 1,550.9 500 1,754.8 1,942.5 504

## LRCP Stage-Storage – Final Conditions

#### 3.2 DAM EMBANKMENT

The two foot contour interval topographic data (Reference 6) provided by American Electric Power (AEP) indicates that the crest elevation of the dam is approximately 504 feet. A recent dam assessment report dated September 14, 2009 prepared by GZA GeoEnvironmental Inc. stated that the low spot on the dam crest is at an elevation of 502.9 feet (Reference 9).

#### 3.3 PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY

The LRCP primary spillway consists of an inclined 6-foot by 3-foot reinforced concrete box culvert with a riser box structure containing grated inlets located at every 11 feet in elevation. Currently, the two uppermost sections of riser box structure are above the pond level and the lower riser section is the active outlet for the pond. The inclined box is connected to a 400-foot long, 72-inch diameter concrete pipe that discharges to the Ohio River. The rating curve of the principal spillway used in the reservoir routing models, provided in Figure 1, assumes a normal high water depth on the Ohio River.





## Stantec RESERVOIR ROUTING ANALYSIS LANDFILL RUNOFF COLLECTION POND Results

## 4.0 Results

The reservoir routing model indicates that the LRCP existing and proposed conditions peak PMP water surface elevations are 500.4 and 501.4 feet respectively. Based on a minimum crest elevation of 502.9 feet, as indicated by the GZA dam assessment report (Reference 9), the results of the reservoir routing analysis indicate that the LRCP dam is currently capable of passing flows generated from the PMP event while maintaining a minimum freeboard of 2.5 feet. Once the CCB Landfill construction is completed, slightly reducing the storage capacity of the LRCP, the peak water surface elevation will rise about a foot from that of its current configuration reducing the estimated PMP freeboard to 1.5 feet.

The model results presented above are predicated on the current configuration of the LRCP spillway facility and a normal pool elevation of 485 feet. Modifications to the spillway and normal pool will require an update of the freeboard analysis.

## 5.0 Bibliography and References

- 1. Warner, Richard C., Pamela J. Scwab, and Dennis j. Marshall. <u>SEDCAD 4 for Windows</u> (computer software), 1998.
- 2. Natural Resources Conservation Service, <u>National Engineering Handbook (NEH)</u> <u>Section 4: Hydrology</u>, September 1997.
- 3. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, Technical Release No. 55, <u>Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds</u>, January 1975.
- 4. Indiana Department of Natural Resources, <u>General Guidelines for New Dams and</u> <u>Improvements to Existing Dams in Indiana</u>, 2001.
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Office of Hydrology, National Weather Service, Hydrometeorlogical Report No. 51, <u>Maximum Precipitation Estimates</u>, <u>United States East of the 105<sup>th</sup> Meridian</u>, June 1978. Reprinted August 1980.
- 6. Henderson Aerial Surveys Inc., <u>Clifty Creek East Pond NAVD 27/NAVD88 in South</u>, (2 foot contours), aerial photography exposed on 4/16/2005.
- 7. Fuller, Mossbarger, Scott, and May Engineers, Inc. (FMSM),"Permit Drawings, Indiana Kentucky Electric Corporation, Clifty Creek Coal Ash Landfill Modification", Drawings 16-30500- 07 through 29, November 15, 2006.
- 8. Fuller, Mossbarger, Scott, and May Engineers, Inc. (FMSM),"Phase 1 Construction Drawings, Indiana Kentucky Electric Corporation, Clifty Creek Coal Ash Landfill Modification," Drawings 16-30870- 01 through 29 November 15, 2006.
- 9. GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc. (GZA)," Dam Assessment Report, Clifty Creek Station, South Fly Ash Pond," Inspection Date: June 11, 2009, Report Date: September 14, 2009.

Appendix A

Watershed Layout





Appendix B

Hydrographs



Appendix B – SEDCAD 4 Existing Conditions LRCP Inflow Outflow Hydrographs



Appendix B – SEDCAD 4 Proposed Conditions LRCP Inflow Outflow Hydrographs

Page 2 of 2